SB 858 AN ACT CONCERNING THE PROPHYLACTIC TREATMENT OF MINORS FOR SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES.

Dear Public Health Committee Members:

I am writing to you to urge you to oppose SB858 AN ACT CONCERNING THE PROPHYLACTIC TREATMENT OF MINORS FOR SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES.

Please think about the ramifications of this bill which clearly serves no state interest and it would cause parents to lose their right to make an informed decision regarding their children's health and well-being. It is common knowledge that HPV (as well as Hepatitis and other sexually transmitted diseases) are NOT public health threats. HPV and hepatitis are non-communicable and there are real serious issues with these vaccines. In fact, just the HPV vaccine alone is currently documented as one of the most dangerous-as of December 2018 there have been over 60,000 reported adverse events associated with the HPV vaccine on the CDC VAERS data base with over 450 deaths and over 2800 permanent disabilities. If you consider that only an estimated 10% of these events are actually reported than these numbers are staggering and deserve your attention. Additionally, the HPV vaccine has been banned in many countries due to its' severe side effects and it has never been found to prevent cancer and, in fact, in certain populations who received the vaccine it actually has caused cancer as well as many other adverse reactions including infertility, premature ovarian failure and severe neurological compromise). Because the vaccine was fast tracked for approval, we are in actuality still in the "live trials" or post marketing phase of this vaccine. Thus, we are continuing to see and will for many years we will continue to witness severe adverse events that are being denied by the medical establishment. Please recall that the U.S. Supreme Court has ruled vaccines "unavoidable unsafe" and I am vehemently opposed to a bill that would remove parental consent to such a procedure that would cause potential harm to my children.

Furthermore, this bill potentially endangers a child's health and life by allowing a health worker to provide only their perspective about a child's treatment and it completely disregards a parent's right to informed consent. The State of CT should not be interfering with routine or preventative medical care for minors-this is a parent's right. If a child's complete medical history is not known prior to them accepting a vaccination, and the child was able to make such a potentially life threatening decision without the knowledge of their parents, parents would not be able to seek appropriate medical attention in the event of an adverse reaction if they were unaware their child was given a vaccine. Furthermore, a child may not be fully aware of any potential medical contraindications they may have, genetic predispositions or family religious objections. How will children with disabilities be able to understand the consequences of vaccinations and what about a child who feels that a doctor is an authority figure who will feel under duress and pressured to comply.

Moreover, this bill does not reconcile with federal law. Per the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, parents are required to receive a Vaccine Information Sheet prior to the administration of a vaccine, by the provider. WHO WOULD BE LIABLE FOR COSTS OF INJURY OR DEATH, OR PERMANENT DISABILITY as a result of this HPV vaccine?

PLEASE ALSO CONSIDER THESE UNDENIABLE VACCINATION FACTS:

- 1.) US supreme court ruled vaccines "unavoidably UNsafe" in 2011 . 1 Bruesewitz v. Wyeth LLC, http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/10pdf/09-152.pdf
- 2.) According to David Kessler, former commissioner of the FDA, "only about one percent of serious events [adverse drug reactions] are reported." Human and Experimental Toxicology, 31(10) 1012–1021, DOI: 10.1177/0960327112440111, Relative trends in hospitalizations and mortality among infants by the number of vaccine doses and age, based on the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), 1990–2010

- 3.) In 1986 Congress passed the "National childhood vaccine injury Act" which removed financial liability from vaccine manufacturers and placed it on taxpayers with a \$ 0.75 tax on every vaccine given. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-1 et seq., and Bruesewitz, supra. The National Vaccine injury compensation program has paid out over \$3.6 BILLION for vaccine injuries and deaths since 1989. http://www.hrsa.gov/vaccinecompensation/
- 4.) Approximately 5% of the vaccine injuries and deaths reported to VAERS.gov ever reach Vaccine Court. The majority of families are forced to carry the physical and financial burden of caring for an injured child themselves as are taxpayers via schools and Medicare. Only a FRACTION of the above cases ever receive payout from the NVICP because families are responsible to 'PROVE' the vaccine caused the death or injury. "while individuals may file VICP claims for these vaccines, each petitioner must demonstrate that the vaccine that was administered caused the alleged injury." 51% of Claims take 5+ years to Adjudicate. http://www.gao.gov/assets/670/667135.pdf
- 5.) Vaccines Have "NOT been evaluated for carcinogenic or mutagenic potential, or potential to impair fertility" —as stated in package inserts take notice of section 13.1 ie:(MMRII insert top pg 6) and in the other vaccine inserts as well.

http://www.merck.com/product/usa/pi circulars/m/mmr ii/mmr ii pi.pdf

- 6.) The pharmaceutical industry is the biggest defrauder of the federal government under the False Claims Act. 1 In a recent 5 year period, \$19.2 billion were returned from attempts to defraud federal health programs, more than twice that of the previous 5 years. False Claims Act, Feb 2014 archive http://www.fraudwhistleblowersblog.com/2014/02/
- 7.) Religious beliefs are protected under the US constitution: 14th Amendment (section 1)US Supreme court rulings state parents have the "right to parent their children" including Medical Decisions...without state intervention- unless the state has deemed them "unfit"! Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000) 1st Amendment of the US Constitution ONLY requires a "Religious belief" to be-"Religious in Nature" and "Sincerely Held". Sherr and Levy vs. Northport East-Northport Union Free School District, 672 F. Supp. 81, (E.D.N.Y., 1987); Mason v. General Brown Cent. School Dist., 851 F.2d 47 (2nd Cir. 1988), Lewis v. Sobel, 710 F. Supp. 506, 512 (S.D.N.Y. 1989); and Farina v. The Board of Education, 116 F. Supp.2d 503 (S.D.N.Y. 2000) (which cases cite United States v. Seeger, 380 U.S. 163, 85 S.Ct. 850 and other U.S. Supreme Court cases) 7.) Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights-U.N. Article 3 - Human dignity and human rights 1. Human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms are to be fully respected. 2. The interests and welfare of the individual should have priority over the sole interest of science or society. Article 28 - Denial of acts contrary to human rights, fundamental freedoms and human dignity: Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any claim to engage in any activity or to perform any act contrary to human rights, fundamental freedoms and human dignity. Article 6 - Consent ---1. Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice.

http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.phpURL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html
I urge you to look at the facts and not be persuaded by big pharma agendas. Please protect our children and our families and realize these bills will not only affect those who you are representing, but they will ultimately affect your children and grandchildren. Remember, if these bills pass and a member of your family is one of the many who suffer irreversible effects it will be too late to make a difference that counts!

Sincerely, Risa Sloves CT Resident